## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

MAKY	(LAND	SHAI	LL 188	UE, I	NC.,	et al.

Plaintiffs,

Case No. 1:16-cv-03311-MJG

LAWRENCE HOGAN, et al.

v.

Defendants.

## PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

Pursuant to Local Rule 105.9, the Plaintiffs, through counsel, move to extend the time for filing a response to the Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the Amended Complaint. In support of their motion, the defendants state the following:

- (1) The current deadline for the Plaintiffs to respond to the complaint is Monday, February 6, 2017.
- (2) Due to the complexity of the issues raised in the Motion to Dismiss the Amended Complaint, and undersigned counsel's upcoming trial dates, the Plaintiffs seek to extend the filing deadline until Monday, February 27, 2017.
- (3) Through counsel, the Defendants have consented to the relief requested in this motion.

Respectfully Submitted,
HANSEL LAW, P.C.
<u>/s/</u>
Cary J. Hansel
2514 North Charles Street
Baltimore, Maryland 21218
Direct Dial: 301-461-1040

Counsel for Plaintiff

Facsimile: 443-451-8606 cary@hansellaw.com

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on February 3, 2017, the foregoing Plaintiff's Motion for Extension of Time was served on all parties via the Court's CM/ECF electronic filing system.

Cary J. Hansel

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

MARYLAND SHALL ISSUE, INC., et al.					
Plaintiffs, v.	Case No. 1:16-cv-03311-MJG				
LAWRENCE HOGAN, et al.					
Defendants.					
<u>OF</u>	<u>RDER</u>				
Upon consideration of the Plaintiffs' Mo	otion for Extension of Time, it is, this day				
of 2017, by the United States District Court for the District of Maryland,					
ORDERED that the Motion in GRANT	ED; and;				
ORDERED that the Plaintiffs' response	to the Complaint shall be filed on or before				
February 27, 2017.					
	Judge Marvin J. Garbis				
	United States District Judge				